# Exhibit 10

		Page 1
1		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
3	PROMESA TITLE III CASE NO: 17-BK-03283 (LTS)	
4	IN RE: THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND	
5	MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO  as representative of	
7	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, et al., Debtor(s).	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
10	PROMESA TITLE III CASE NO: 17-BK-03566 (LTS)	
11	IN RE: THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO	
12	as representative of	
13	THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO	
14	RICO, Debtor(s).	
15	<del></del>	
16		
17		
18	CONFIDENTIAL	
19		
20		
21	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL EXAMINATION OF	
22	RICHARD ENGMAN	
23	DATE: May 28, 2020	
24		
25	REPORTED BY: CHARLENE FRIEDMAN, CCR, RPR, CRR	

	I
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
ADV. PROC. NO: 17-00356 (LTS)	
E SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE OF THE FINANCIAL	
TING BY AND THROUGH ITS MEMBERS,	
E OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA)	
-as co-trustees of F FMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE	
VERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO,	
FENDANT IM, et al., Defendant(s).	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
E SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE OF THE FINANCIAL ERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, TING BY AND THROUGH ITS MEMBERS,	
-and-	
ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA)	
E EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE VERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO,	
Plaintiff(s),	
vs.	
OEVER GLASS & CO., et al.,	
perenuant (5).	
<del></del>	
E	ADV. PROC. NO: 17-00356 (LTS)  E SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE OF THE FINANCIAL ERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, FING BY AND THROUGH ITS MEMBERS, —and—  E OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS  ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA) —as co-trustees of  E EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE //ERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO,

		Page 3
1		
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
3	ADV. PROC. NO: 19-00359 (LTS)	
4	THE SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE OF THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,	
5	ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS MEMBERS,	
6	-and- THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS	
7	OF ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA) -as co-trustees of	
8	THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO,	
	Plaintiff(s),	
9	vs.	
10	DEFENDANT 1H-78H, et al.,	
11	Defendant(s).	
12	<del></del>	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
	ADV. PROC. NO: 19-00361 (LTS)	
14	THE SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE OF THE FINANCIAL	
15	OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS MEMBERS,	
16	-and-	
17	THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA)	
18	-as co-trustees of THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE	
19	GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO, Plaintiff(s),	
20	VS.  DEFENDANT 16-506 of all	
	DEFENDANT 1G-50G, et al., Defendant(s).	
22		
23		
24		
25		

	٢
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
ADV. PROC. NO: 19-00366 (LTS)	
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD	
FOR PUERTO RICO,  -as representative of	
EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE GOVERNMENT	
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, -and	
THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA),	
-as Section 926 trustee of	
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, Plaintiff(s),	
VS.	
ANDALUSIAN GLOBAL DESIGNATED ACTIVITY COMPANY, et al.,	
Defendant (s).	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
ADV. PROC. NO: 19-00367 (LTS)	
THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD	
FOR PUERTO RICO,	
-as representative of EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE GOVERNMENT	
OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,	
-and THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS	
OF ALL TITLE III DEBTORS (OTHER THAN COFINA),	
-as Section 926 trustee of THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,	
Plaintiff(s),	
vs.	
GLENDON OPPORTUNITIES FUND, LP, et al.,	
Defendant(s).	

1	VIDEO OPERATOR: This is the remote
2	video recorded deposition of Richard Engman.
3	Today is Thursday, May 28, 2020.
4	The time is now 9:34 a.m. in the Eastern Time
5	Zone.
6	We are here in the matter of In Re:
7	The Financial Oversight and Management Board
8	for Puerto Rico as Representative of The
9	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, et al.
10	All counsel have been noted on
11	record.
12	My name is Jose Rivera, remote
13	video technician on behalf of Gregory
14	Edwards, LLC.
15	At this time will the reporter,
16	Charlene Friedman, on behalf of Gregory
17	Edwards, LLC, please enter the statement for
18	remote proceedings into the record.
19	THE COURT REPORTER: My name is
20	Charlene Friedman. I am a Certified Court
21	Reporter of the State of New Jersey and a
22	Notary Public. This video deposition is
23	being held remotely and the witness and the
24	reporter are not in the same room.
25	Mr. Engman, please raise your right

1	hand.
2	The witness will be sworn in
3	remotely, pursuant to agreement of all
4	parties. The parties stipulate that the
5	testimony is being given as if the witness
6	was sworn in person.
7	
8	RICHARD ENGMAN,
9	called as a witness, having been first duly
10	sworn according to law, testifies as follows:
11	
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. DALSEN:
13	Q Okay. Good morning, Mr. Engman.
14	A Good morning.
15	Q Can you just state your full name
16	for the record, please.
17	A Richard Hunter Engman.
18	Q And Mr. Engman, we've met before,
19	but I'm William Dalsen from Proskauer, and I
20	represent the Oversight Board in these
21	proceedings.
22	You understand that you are here
23	today to testify as a witness in certain
24	litigation between ERS, certain ERS
25	bondholders, the various committees,

1	that for these questions that I've asked you
2	so far about the earliest date Mason Capital
3	was aware of, of whether the bonds have been
4	issued with authority or my last question
5	about the illegally made language, it was the
6	earliest of when that when those subjects
7	were mentioned either in the UCC's claim
8	objection or the Kobre & Kim report,
9	whichever one was dated first?
10	A No, just on this last question of
11	when was the first time that I saw that
12	saw that a reference to the preamble,
13	because I wouldn't have read the Kobre & Kim
14	report as giving me significant pause about
15	the validity of bonds.
16	You know, that that the first
17	time that it that it became apparent, that
18	at least they a real entity was claiming
19	that the bonds were invalid was from the UCC
20	filing an objection.
21	Q Mr. Engman, what is the earliest
22	date that Mason Capital was aware from any
23	source of the efforts to enact legislation to
24	authorize the bonds to fund ERS and the
25	failure of those efforts?

1	A It wasn't the way I think in the
2	context of preparing for this. It's been,
3	you know, highlighted to me that there I
4	think, again, the Kobre & Kim might have said
5	something.
6	In fact, they they didn't make
7	an impact when I read it the first time, in
8	preparing for this, but I believe the Kobre &
9	Kim referenced in 2004 and 2005 proposed
10	legislation and certainly I read the Kobre &
11	Kim report.
12	Q And just just to clarify the
13	question on both the UCC claim objection and
14	the Kobre & Kim report, do you recall whether
15	for each of those you read those close to the
16	time that they were filed or issued as
17	applicable?
18	A Close to yeah, close to the
19	time. Kobre & Kim, we certainly would have
20	been, you know I don't know if it's a
21	longer I don't know if I read the entire
22	thing right away, but I skimmed the section
23	on ERS and skimmed the section on EO.
24	Q Mr. Engman, what is what is the
25	earliest stage that Mason Capital sought or

		i
1	received advice from counsel about the	
2	validity, the invalidity, legality or	
3	illegality of ERS bonds?	
4	A When the litigation started, I	
5	think, in response to the allegations being	
6	made, and I'll keep saying August, if I'm	
7	wrong on that date, in August of 2018.	
8	Q To prepare for today's deposition,	
9	did you discuss you'll have to forgive	
10	me was it Mr. Hanson that worked with you	
11	on the ERS files?	
12	A He did.	
13	Q Did you discuss any of these	
14	questions I just asked you about Mason	
15	Capital's earliest awareness with Mr. Hanson	
16	to prepare for today's deposition?	
17	A Yes, because that's that's	
18	what you know, we asked him about the	
19	Spanish language version. The context of	
20	that was, did you look at that, you know,	
21	when, or did you look at the Spanish	
22	language, because we're trying to figure	
23	when, and he didn't and he and he	
24	didn't didn't and I have a concern	
25	about the validity of the ERS bonds prior to	

1	the the same time frame as I was
2	testifying to.
3	Q Okay. Did you ask Mr. Hanson
4	specifically whether he had a concern about
5	the validity of the ERS bonds to prepare for
6	today's deposition?
7	A No.
8	Q As far as the corporation,
9	Mr. Hanson, in viewing the Spanish version of
10	the Enabling Act, is it fair to say that
11	would affect, if anything, Mason Capital's
12	awareness of the debt authorizing language of
13	the ERS Enabling Act?
14	MR. PAPEZ: Hang on.
15	Object to form.
16	A From how I understand the issue
17	now, yes.
18	Q Is it your understanding that
19	either way well, let me let me let
20	me ask this.
21	Other than Mr. Hanson's review of
22	the ERS Enabling Act in Spanish, did you
23	discuss with Mr. Hanson Mason Capital's
24	earliest date of awareness of of any of
25	the other subjects that we've been talking